



3-17-04

Imayr 1615

16 Mar 03

CONCERNING: Application No. 09/835,501

FROM: Tyler Parr, Ph.D.
P.O. Box 371
Chula Vista, CA 91912

Telephone (619) 425 1075
Voice Msg/FAX (213) 947 1021

Email tyParr@longerhealthylife.com

TO: Robert M. Joynes, Examiner, USPTO
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450


Examiner Joynes,

I have amended the claims by incorporating claims 2 and 3 into the independent Claim 1 as requested. Naturally, the numbers of subsequent dependent claims were changed to reflect the removal of previous claim numbers 2 and 3.

I include in this submission both these revised claims(three copies), a copy of the previous claims, and the Interview Summary that was sent to me in the Office Action packet.

Again thank you for your kind indulgence of my multiple ignorance's of USPTO language and rules.

Tyler Parr, Ph.D.



Interview Summary

Application No.

09/835,501

Applicant(s)

PARR, TYLER B.

Examiner

Robert M. Joynes

Art Unit

1615

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert M. Joynes.

(3) _____.

(2) Tyler Parr.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 February 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Parr, the pro se inventor of the application, contacted the Examiner to note that the wrong action was mailed to him. The Examiner's Answer of application 10/047060 was mailed to his address. He requested that the proper action be mailed. The Examiner indicated that proper action would be mailed and the time would be restarted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required